



Accounting for Risk and Uncertainty in the Valuation of Oil & Gas Exploration & Production Assets

Laura Hardin

Managing Director, BRG

Gardner W. Walkup, Jr.

Managing Director, BRG

James Toal

Director, BRG

(c) August 2026

An abbreviated version of this paper was published in the AIEN's *Journal of World Energy Law and Business* continuous publication 2026: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jwelb/jwag007>
(Login required to access the online version).

DISCLAIMER

This research paper was funded by a grant from the Association of International Energy Negotiators ("AIEN") and represents the opinion of the author and not necessarily the opinion of the AIEN or its directors, officers and members. Before relying on any information contained in this paper, you should independently verify its accuracy and completeness for your purposes and seek legal advice, as necessary. The author holds the copyright to this paper. The permission of the AIEN is required to publish this research paper.

1. Introduction.....	5
2. What is Valuation Used for and Why is Incorporating Risk and Uncertainty Both Important and Challenging?	6
3. An Industry Plagued by a Common Language.....	8
a. Valuation	9
b. Risk versus Uncertainty	10
c. Expected Production Versus Reserves	11
d. Conclusion	12
4. Common Processes used to Incorporate Risk and Uncertainty into Valuations According to Academic Literature	13
a. Probabilistic Modeling as the Preferred Framework	14
b. Real Options and Decision-Analysis Approaches.....	17
c. Integrated Stochastic Asset Models.....	18
d. Viewing Exploration Risk as the Combination of Geological and Economic Success.....	19
e. Price and Cost Uncertainty: Dynamic Versus Static Treatment.....	20
f. Separation of Non-Systematic Risk from Discount Rates.....	20
g. Practical Critiques: Complexity, Data Limitations, and Diminishing Returns	21
h. Implications for Practitioners.....	22
5. Common Processes used to Incorporate Risk and Uncertainty into Valuations According to our Interviews with Industry Practitioners.....	23
a. Interview Process	23
b. Interview Findings	24
i. Valuation is a Decision-Making Framework, not a Number.....	24
ii. Distinction between Risk and Uncertainty is Widely Understood, but not Consistently Applied	25
iii. Technical Uncertainty Receives Significant Analytical Attention; Above-Ground Risk Remains Difficult to Quantify	25
iv. Wide Variation in Price Forecasting and Discount Rate Practices.....	26
v. Early-Stage Development Decisions Under High Uncertainty	27
vi. Portfolio Constraints Strongly Influence Valuation Outcomes	29

vii.	Use of Structured Deal Terms to Manage Risk.....	30
viii.	Limited Use of Post-Mortems and Learning Systems	30
ix.	Use of AI	31
c.	Conclusion.....	31
6.	Information and Findings to Aid Practitioners Engaged in Valuing Early-Stage Oil & Gas Projects	31
a.	Understanding Sources of Valuation Differences.....	32
b.	The Relationship Between Theory and Practice	32
c.	Identifying when Differences Matter, and When They Do Not.	33
d.	Transparency, Communication, and Framing.....	33
e.	Optionality in Deal Structuring	33
f.	Navigating Differences.....	34
g.	Concluding Observations.....	34