# ASSOCIATION OF INTERNATIONAL PETROLEUM NEGOTIATORS



### **AIPN RESEARCH PAPER**

## LONG-TERM NATURAL GAS CONTRACTS AND ANTITRUST LAW IN THE EUROPEAN UNION AND THE UNITED STATES

Dr Kim Talus
Lecturer in International Energy and Resources Law
UCL School of Energy and Resources, Australia
Editor-in-chief for OGEL

#### Disclaimer

This research paper was funded by a grant from the Association of International Petroleum Negotiators ("AIPN") and represents the opinion of the author and not necessarily the opinion of the AIPN or its directors, officers and members. Before relying on any information contained in this paper, you should independently verify its accuracy and completeness for your purposes and seek legal advice, as necessary. The AIPN holds the copyright to this paper. The permission of the AIPN is required to publish this research paper.

### **Contents**

l INTRO	DDUCTION: GAS IS DIFFERENT	•••••
2 LONG	-TERM NATURAL GAS CONTRACTS AND EU COMPETITION	<b>I LAW</b>
	oduction	
2.2 Dev	velopment of EU natural gas market regulation: unbundling and access	12
2.2.1	Unbundling	
2.2.2	TPA, capacity allocation and congestion management	14
2.3 Dov	wnstream natural gas contracts	
2.3.1	Duration and volumes	19
2.3.2	Oil price indexation	27
2.3.3	Take-or-pay and resale restrictions	28
	stream natural gas contracts	
2.5 Lor	ng-term natural gas contracts and EU competition law: duration and volumes	33
2.6 Spe	cific clauses of the upstream natural gas contracts	38
2.6.1	Destination clauses	38
2.6.2	Oil price indexation	44
2.6.3	Take-or-pay provisions	47
2.7 Cor	nclusion on the application of EU competition law to natural gas contracts	50
3 THE U	IS APPROACH TO LONG-TERM CONTRACTING IN THE ENE	RGY
	S	
	velopment of US natural gas market regulation: unbundling and access	
3.1.1	The era of State regulation (1889-1937).	
3.1.2	The first era of Federal regulation: the road to long-term contracts (1938-1983)	
3.1.3	The era of restructuring and deregulation (1985-present)	
	velopments in long-term contracting in the US natural gas industry	
	tical restraints in US antitrust law: Exclusivity agreements	
3.3.1	Introduction: towards a more positive approach to vertical agreements	
3.3.2	Main case law on (long-term) exclusivity agreements	63
3.3.3	Case law from the lower Courts	70
3.3.4	The evolution of the case law	
	nopolization under Section 2 of the Sherman Act	
3.4.1	Refusal to deal and the US essential facilities doctrine	
3.4.2	The US approach to forced access and the role of long-term agreements	
	PARATIVE REMARKS	
	LUSION	9